MATH 4281 Risk Theory–Ruin and Credibility

٠

< 🗆 🕨

• ን ۹ ሮ •

2 . 2

Module 1 (Final Lecture)

January 28, 2021

Where we left off - the distribution of a Compound Distribution

It is possible to get a fairly general expression for the CDF of S (a "compound **blank** distribution") by conditioning on the number of claims:

$$F_{\mathcal{S}}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Pr[S \le x | N = n] \Pr[N = n] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_X^{*n}(x) p_n,$$

where $F_X^{*n}(x)$ is the *n*-fold convolution of $F_X(x)$. Note that

• *N* will always be discrete, so this works for any type of RV *X* (continuous, discrete or mixed)

200

• however, the type of S will depend on the type of X

Type of X

- If X is continuous, S will generally be mixed:
 - with a mass at 0 because of Pr[N = 0] (if positive)
 - continuous elsewhere, but with a density integrating to $1 \Pr[N = 0]$
- If X is mixed, S will generally be mixed:
 - Other than Pr[N = 0] consider if X is not continuous for x > 0
 - with a density integrating to something $\leq 1 \Pr[N = 0]$

But if *X* discrete?

• For discrete X's there is a similar expression for the pmf of S:

$$f_{S}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Pr[S = x | N = n] \Pr[N = n] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{X}^{*n}(x) p_{n},$$

• Where $f_X^{*0}(0) = 1$ (and thus 0 anywhere else)

 Obviously this can be implemented in a table and/or in a program in the manner we have seen

However...

- However, if the range of N goes really to the infinity, calculating f_S(x) may require an infinity of convolutions of X
- This formula is more efficient if the number of possible outcomes for *N* is small
- We will explore two algorithms for simplifying these calculations in the next section (see next section)

200

The Sparse Vector Algorithm Panjer's Recursion Algorithm Concluding remarks

996

ж.

イロト イ語ト イモト イモト

Approximating S in the CRM: Discrete Methods

The Sparse Vector Algorithm Panjer's Recursion Algorithm Concluding remarks

Example

Suppose that N_1, N_2, \dots, N_m are independent random variables. Further, suppose that N_i follows Poisson (λ_i) . Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m be deterministic numbers. What is the distribution of the following:

 $x_1N_1 + \cdots + x_mN_m?$

$$Z_{1} = X_{1} N_{1} ; \quad \rho(Z = z) = \rho(N_{1} = \frac{z}{x_{1}}) = \frac{e^{-\lambda_{1}}}{\lambda^{2/x_{1}}}$$
Looks like Poi- but isnt!
$$(Z/x_{1})!$$

$$F[Z] = X_{1} \lambda_{1} \neq x_{1}^{2} \lambda = V_{0}(z) \quad Also \quad wrong support$$

$$U_{1}less \quad x_{1} = l$$

The Sparse Vector Algorithm Panjer's Recursion Algorithm Concluding remarks

Ex (cont.)

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{M}_{\Sigma X_{i}, N_{i}}(I) &= \left[\left[e^{I\left(\Sigma X_{i}, N_{i}\right)} \right] = \left[\left[\prod_{i} e^{(I \times i)N_{i}} \right] \right] \\ &= \mathcal{M}_{N_{i}}(I \times x_{i}) \times \dots \times \mathcal{M}_{N_{m}}(I \times x_{m}) \\ \mathcal{M}_{Q}I^{-} of P_{0i} & \mathcal{R}_{ccll} \quad \lambda \neq \chi_{\lambda_{i}} \\ &= \prod_{i} e_{Y} \rho \left\{ \lambda_{i} \left(e^{I \times i} - I \right) \right\} = e_{X} \rho \left\{ \lambda \left(\Sigma \lambda_{i} e^{I \times i} - I \right) \right\} \\ X \sim \rho(X_{i} = \chi_{i}) = \pi_{i} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{X}(I) = \sum_{i} \pi_{i} e^{I \times i} \qquad \mathcal{M}_{S}I = I \times i \quad \lambda_{i} = \pi_{i} \end{split}$$
So then we have a Cmpd. Poi. with $\left(\lambda_{i}, \rho(X = \chi_{i}) = \pi_{i} \right)$

The Sparse Vector Algorithm Panjer's Recursion Algorithm Concluding remarks

200

If $S \sim \text{compound Poisson}(\lambda, \Pr(X = x_i) = \pi_i), i = 1, ..., m$ then

$$S = x_1 N_1 + \ldots + x_m N_m,$$

where the N_i 's

Theorem

- represent the number of claims of amount x_i
- are mutually independent
- are Poisson($\lambda_i = \lambda \pi_i$)

So What?

The Sparse Vector Algorithm Panjer's Recursion Algorithm Concluding remarks

200

• Allows to develop an alternative method for tabulating the distribution of *S* that is more efficient as *m* is small called the Sparse Vector Algorithm

• S can be used to approximate the Individual Risk Model if X = IB where I = 1 if N > 0 and B = xN.

The Sparse Vector Algorithm Panjer's Recursion Algorithm Concluding remarks

The sparse vector algorithm: examples

1

Suppose S has a compound Poisson distribution with $\lambda = 0.8$ and individual claim amount distribution

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & \Pr[X = x] \\ 1 & 0.250 & \overline{n_1} \\ x_1 & 2 & 0.375 & \overline{r_2} \\ x_3 & 3 & 0.375 & \overline{r_3} \end{pmatrix} \int_{iS} \int_{iS$$

Compute $f_S(x) = \Pr[S = x]$ for x = 0, 1, ..., 6. This can be done in two ways: M_{I_1} , d_{I_2} ,

- Basic method (seen earlier in the lecture): requires to calculate up to the 6th convolution of X
- Sparse vector algorithm: requires no convolution of X

The Sparse Vector Algorithm Panjer's Recursion Algorithm Concluding remarks

Solution - Basic Method

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
x	$f_X^{*0}(x)$	$f_X(x)$	$f_X^{*2}(x)$	$f_X^{*3}(x)$	$f_X^{*4}(x)$	$f_X^{*5}(x)$	$f_X^{*6}(x)$	$f_{S}(x)$
0	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.4493
1	-	0.250	-	-	-	-	-	0.0899
2	-	0.375	0.0625	-	-	-	-	0.1438
3	-	0.375	0.1875	0.0156	-	-	-	0.1624
4	-	-	0.3281	0.0703	0.0039	-	-	0.0499
5	-	-	0.2813	0.1758	0.0234	0.0010	-	0.0474
6	-	-	0.1406	0.2637	0.0762	0.0073	0.0002	0.0309
п	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	
$e^{-0.8} \frac{(0.8)^n}{n!}$	0.4493	0.3595	0.1438	0.0383	0.0077	0.0012	0.0002	

- The convolutions are done in the usual way
- The $f_S(x)$ are the sumproduct of the row x and row $\Pr[N = n]$
- The number of convolutions (and thus of columns) will increase by 1 for each new value of f_S(x), until the infinity!

4

The Sparse Vector Algorithm Panjer's Recursion Algorithm Concluding remarks

900

Solution - Sparse Vector Algorithm

Thanks to out theorem we can write $S = N_1 + 2N_2 + 3N_3$. Now only two convolutions are needed! (columns (5) and (6))

-	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	x	$\Pr\left[N_1 = x\right]$	$\Pr\left[2N_2 = x\right]$	$\Pr\left[3N_3 = x\right]$	$\Pr\left[N_1 + 2N_2 = x\right]$	$f_S(x)$
_					= (2)*(3)	$= (4)^{*}(5)$
~	-> °	X 0.818731	0.740818	0.740818	0.606531	0.449329
	$\boldsymbol{\prime}_1$	0.163746	0	0	0.121306	0.089866
	2	0.016375	0.222245	0	0.194090	0.143785
	3	0.001092	0	0.222245	0.037201	0.162358
	4	0.000055	0.033337	0	0.030974	0.049906
	5	0.000002	0	0	0.005703	0.047360
_	6	0.000000	0.003334	0.033337	0.003288	0.030923
	×i	1	2	3		
	$\lambda_i = \lambda \pi_i$	0.2	0.3	0.3		
	$\Pr[N_i = x/i]$	$e^{-0.2} \frac{(0.2)^{x}}{x!}$	$e^{-0.3} \frac{(0.3)^{x/2}}{(x/2)!}$	$e^{-0.3} \frac{(0.3)^{x/3}}{(x/3)!}$)	
				/		
	J D	()	6			
	<i>1</i>		\dots ∇ f(a)	11	r -	
	"N1+	1N. J		/	= 1 (D) L /0	1
	,	-7-1	V=0 1	~~ ·)
			•		. «مر	-
					- 10.411(074)	= n ini
						- 0.600
					이 이 다 가 이 다 가 이 같아.	医多黄色 建丁

The Sparse Vector Algorithm Panjer's Recursion Algorithm Concluding remarks

Another Algorithm

The (a, b, 0) family is a family of distributions with the following property

$$\Pr[N=k] = \left(a + \frac{b}{k}\right) \Pr[N=k-1], \quad k = 1, 2, \cdots.$$

 \implies Pr[N = n] can be obtained by recursion given Pr[N = 0].

The Sparse Vector Algorithm Panjer's Recursion Algorithm Concluding remarks

The <u>exhaustive</u> list of the (a, b, 0) members is:

Distribution	а	b	$\Pr[N=0]$
$Poisson(\lambda)$	0	λ	$e^{-\lambda}$
Neg Bin (r, β)	$\beta/1+\beta$	(r-1)eta/(1+eta)	$(1+\beta)^{-r}$
Binomial(m, q)	-q/(1-q)	(m+1)q/(1-q)	$(1-q)^m$

e.g. for Poisson:

$$\beta(N=n) = \left(\frac{-\lambda}{n!}\lambda^{n}\right) = \left(\frac{-\lambda}{n!}\lambda^{n-1}\right) = \frac{\lambda}{n!}\left[\frac{k-\lambda}{n!}\lambda^{n-1}\right] = \frac{\lambda}{n!}\beta(N=n-1)$$

$$5x \longrightarrow P_{0} + t_{1}, \quad res \neq 0$$

The Sparse Vector Algorithm Panjer's Recursion Algorithm Concluding remarks

Panjer's recursion algorithm

- The remarkable property of the (*a*, *b*) family allows us to develop a recursive method to get the distribution of *S* for discrete *X*'s.
- I will present the algorithm without proof here. But I attached a supplemental document with Mikosch's proof (which I prefer to Klugman et al.).
- The algorithm is very stable when N is Poisson and Negative Binomial, but less stable when N is Binomial.

The Sparse Vector Algorithm Panjer's Recursion Algorithm Concluding remarks

Panjer's Recursion Formula

lf

- S has a compound distribution on X
- X is non-negative and discrete
- N is of the (a, b, 0) family

Then

$$f_{S}(s) = \frac{1}{1 - af_{X}(0)} \sum_{j=1}^{s} \left(a + \frac{bj}{s}\right) f_{X}(j) f_{S}(s-j), \quad s = 1, 2, \dots,$$

with starting value

$$f_{S}(0) = \begin{cases} \Pr[N=0], & \text{if } f_{X}(0) = 0\\ P_{N}[f_{X}(0)], & \text{if } f_{X}(0) > 0. \end{cases}$$

<ロ> <酉 > < 臣 > < 臣 > < 臣 > < 臣 > < 臣 > のへび

The Sparse Vector Algorithm Panjer's Recursion Algorithm Concluding remarks

200

Panjer's recursion for compound Poisson

If $S \sim \text{compound Poisson}(\lambda, f_X(x))$ the algorithm reduces to

$$f_{S}(s) = \frac{\lambda}{s} \sum_{j=1}^{s} j f_{X}(j) f_{S}(s-j)$$

with starting value

$$f_{S}\left(0\right)=e^{\lambda\left(f_{X}\left(0\right)-1\right)}$$

(whether $f_X(0)$ is positive or not).

The Sparse Vector Algorithm Panjer's Recursion Algorithm Concluding remarks

 $\mathbf{A} \square \mathbf{P}$

200

Previous Example using the recursion formula

Effectively, the recursion formula boils down to

with starting value

$$f_{S}(0) = \Pr[N = 0] = e^{-0.8} = 0.44933.$$

We have then

$$f_{S}(1) = 0.2f_{S}(0) = 0.2e^{-0.8} = 0.089866$$

$$f_{S}(2) = \frac{1}{2}[0.2f_{S}(1) + 0.6f_{S}(0)] = 0.32e^{-0.8} = 0.14379$$

$$f_{S}(3) = \frac{1}{3}[0.2f_{S}(2) + 0.6f_{S}(1) + 0.9f_{S}(0)] = 0.3613e^{-0.8} = 0.16236$$

.

The Sparse Vector Algorithm Panjer's Recursion Algorithm Concluding remarks

- When X is continuous, it is possible to discretise its distribution (advanced methods out of the scope of this course).
- Can be very accurate. If you are curious Sec. 9.6.5 "Constructing Arithmetic Distributions" in Klugman et al.
- There also exists a corollary to Panjer for computing convolutions in the IRM. This is called DePril's Algorithm.
- Panjer Recursion can be generalized to calculate the "probability of ruin" in the Cramér-Lundberg model (Module 2).

Approximating S in the CRM: Normal Approximation

A D > 4

ж.

ж.

ንሳራ

Approximations

Possible motivations:

- It is not possible to compute the distribution of S e.g. no detailed data is available except for the moments of S
- The risk of having a sophisticated—but wrong—model is too high if limited data is available to fit the model
- A quick approximation is needed.
- A higher level of accuracy is not required (does not justify the resources necessary to calculate an exact probability)

CLT approximation assuming symmetry

The Central Limit Theorem suggests that

$$F_{S}(s) = \Pr[S \le s] = \Pr\left[\frac{S - E[S]}{\sqrt{Var(S)}} \le \frac{s - E[S]}{\sqrt{Var(S)}}\right]$$
$$\approx \Pr\left[Z \le \frac{s - E[S]}{\sqrt{Var(S)}}\right] = \Phi\left(\frac{s - E[S]}{\sqrt{Var(S)}}\right),$$

This approximation performs poorly

- individual model: for small n (generally $n \leq 30$)
- collective model: for small λ (compound Poisson) and small r (compound negative binomial)
- for highly skewed distributions

CLT Approximation allowing for skewness

Two "Normal¹ Power Levels":

- NP1: this is the CLT approximation
- NP2: CLT but with a correction taking the skewness into account. Given that x > E[S] + √Var(S):

$$\Pr\left[\frac{S - E[S]}{\sqrt{Var(S)}} \le x\right] \approx \Phi(s)$$

7= 7(5)

৩৫৫

with

$$x = s + rac{\gamma_1}{6} (s^2 - 1)$$
 or $s = \sqrt{rac{9}{\gamma_1^2} + rac{6x}{\gamma_1} + 1} - rac{3}{\gamma_1}$



¹That is, power levels bellow 9,000

Example

A total claim amount S has expected value 10000, standard deviation 1000 and skewness 1. Use the CLT to find the probability that S is greater than 13000.

• NP1:

$$\Pr(S > 13000) = \Pr\left(\frac{S - E[S]}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(E)}} > \frac{13000 - 10000}{1000}\right)$$
$$\approx 1 - \Phi(3) = 0.013.$$

• NP2

$$Pr(S > 13000) = Pr\left(\frac{S - E[S]}{\sqrt{Var(E)}} > \frac{13000 - 10000}{1000}\right)$$
$$\approx 1 - \Phi(\sqrt{9 + 6 \times 3 + 1} - 3)$$
$$= 1 - \Phi(2.29) = 0.011.$$

End of Module 1 · Test #1; Feb 11 1:00 - 3:00 3Qs; OIRM } Multipl, ports (3) Prob-type e.g. MGFs, ctc... 200