

MATH 4281 Risk Theory–Ruin and Credibility

Module 3: Credibility Theory finale and the last lecture!

March 25th, 2021

- 1 Non-Parametric Bühlmann model
- 2 The Bühlmann-Straub model

Non-Parametric Bühlmann model

Recall from last class

- X_{jt} : claims size of policy j during year t .
- Available data, $1 \leq j \leq J$, $1 \leq t \leq T$:

year t	1	2	3	...	T	Risk	Mean
policy $j = 1$	X_{11}	X_{12}	X_{13}	\cdots	X_{1T}	θ_1	$\bar{X}_{1\Sigma}$
policy $j = 2$	X_{21}	X_{22}	X_{23}	\cdots	X_{2T}	θ_2	$\bar{X}_{2\Sigma}$
policy $j = 3$	X_{31}	X_{32}	X_{33}	\cdots	X_{3T}	θ_3	$\bar{X}_{3\Sigma}$
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\ddots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
policy $j = J$	X_{J1}	X_{J2}	X_{J3}	\cdots	X_{JT}	θ_J	$\bar{X}_{J\Sigma}$

- $X_{11}, X_{12}, \dots, X_{JT}$ are *iid* conditional on Θ .
- $\mu(\theta_j) = E[X_{jt} | \Theta = \theta_j]$
- $\sigma^2(\theta_j) = \text{Var}(X_{jt} | \Theta = \theta_j)$

$$p_{j,T+1}^{\text{cred}} = z \bar{X}_{j\Sigma} + (1 - z)m, \quad i = 1, \dots, J \quad (1)$$

Nonparametric estimation (unbiased estimators)

Estimation of $E[\mu(\Theta)] = m$:

$$\bar{X}_{\Sigma\Sigma} = \frac{1}{J} \sum_{j=1}^J \bar{X}_{j\Sigma} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{t=1}^T X_{jt}}{JT} \quad (2)$$

Estimation of $E[\sigma^2(\Theta)] = s^2$:

$$\hat{s}^2 = \frac{1}{J} \sum_{j=1}^J \hat{s}_j^2 = \frac{1}{J} \sum_{j=1}^J \underbrace{\left[\sum_{t=1}^T \frac{(X_{jt} - \bar{X}_{j\Sigma})^2}{T-1} \right]}_{\hat{\bar{s}}_j} \quad (3)$$

Nonparametric estimation (unbiased estimators)

Estimation of $Var(\mu(\Theta)) = a$:

(Bühlmann's estimator)

Low st dev. var

$$\hat{a}_B = \text{Max} \left\{ \underbrace{\frac{\sum_{j=1}^J (\bar{X}_{j\Sigma} - \bar{X}_{\Sigma\Sigma})^2}{J-1}}_{\text{...}} - \underbrace{\frac{1}{T} \hat{s}^2}_{\text{...}} ; 0 \right\} \quad (4)$$

(CAS's estimator)

... var ≥ 0

$$\hat{a}_{CAS} = \text{Max} \left\{ \frac{\sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{t=1}^T (X_{jt} - \bar{X}_{\Sigma\Sigma})^2}{JT-1} - \hat{s}^2 ; 0 \right\} \quad (5)$$

- If $\hat{a} = 0$ then $z = 0$, which makes sense
(all risks have the same parameter)

Example 2

You are given the following past claims data on a portfolio of three classes of policyholders:

Class	Year		
	1	2	3
1	700	800	600
2	625	500	675
3	800	850	750

Estimate the Bühlmann credibility premium to be charged in year 4 for each class of policyholder.

Class	Y_1	Y_2	Y_3	$\bar{X}_{j\cdot}$	\hat{s}_j^2
1	700	800	600	700	10006
2	625	500	675	600	8125
3	800	850	755	800	2500
				$\bar{X}_{\cdot\cdot} = 700$	$\hat{s}^2 = 6875$

1) Find $\bar{X}_{j\cdot}$ e.g. $\bar{X}_{1\cdot} = \frac{700 + 800 + 600}{3} = 700$

2) Find \hat{s}_j^2 e.g. $\hat{s}_1^2 = \frac{1}{3-1} [(700-700)^2 + (800-700)^2 + (600-700)^2]$

3) Collective stats $= 10,000$

$$4) \text{ Find } a \left(\text{"Var}(m(\theta))" \right) \hat{=} \hat{K}$$

$$a_B = \max \left\{ \frac{1}{3-1} \left[\underbrace{(200-700)^2 + (600-700)^2 + (800-700)^2}_{\sum (X_{ji} - \bar{X}_{.j})^2} - \frac{6875}{3} \right], 0 \right\}$$

$\frac{6875}{3}$
 $\frac{3^2}{1}$

$$= 7708.3$$

$$\hat{K} = \frac{s^2}{a_B} \approx 0.9$$

5) We have, K and know $T=3$

$$\Rightarrow z = \frac{T}{T+K} = \frac{3}{3+0.9} \approx 0.8$$

6) Calc the Bühlmann Premiums

$$\begin{aligned} p_1^{\text{cred}} &= z \bar{X}_{1,2} + (1-z) \mu \\ &= (0.8) 700 + (0.2) 700 = 700 \end{aligned}$$

$$p_2^{\text{cred}} = (0.8) 600 + (0.2) 700 = 620$$

The Bühlmann-Straub model

Adding more realism to the Bühlmann model

- Often we have somewhat coarse data available to us (this is changing but in 1970 when this model was introduced it was even more true).
- Many lines of business have a premium of the type “volume measure” times “premium rate”.
- In this case we use an extension of Bühlmann model: Bühlmann-Straub.
- by far the most used and the most important credibility model for insurance practice.

The model

There are $1 \leq j \leq J$ classes of risk (or contracts).

For the j -th class/contract:

- S_{jt} is the aggregate claim amount in year t ($1 \leq t \leq T$)
- w_{jt} is the "volume" associated to S_{jt} in year t
- $X_{jt} = S_{jt}/w_{jt}$ is the claim amount per unit of volume in year t
- One (my favourite) interpretation: average claim costs per year at risk in year t if w_{jt} is the number of years at risk during year t . That is if:

policies on an individual

$$S_{jt} = \sum_{k=1}^{w_{jt}} Y_{jt,k}$$

aggregating

and $X_{ij} \rightarrow$ average

Assumptions

- risk class/contract j is characterized by its specific risk parameter θ_j , which is the realization of a rv Θ_j
- Conditional on Θ_j , the $\{X_{jt} : t = 1, 2, \dots, T\}$ are iid with $\mu(\theta_j) = E[X_{jt} | \Theta = \theta_j]$ **but now:**¹

$$\text{Var}(X_{jt} | \Theta = \theta_j) = \frac{\sigma^2(\theta_j)}{w_{jt}}$$

- the pairs $(\Theta_1, \mathbf{X}_1), (\Theta_2, \mathbf{X}_2), \dots$ are independent
- $\Theta_1, \Theta_2, \dots$ are iid (from the structural distribution)

¹In the previous interpretation, $\mu(\theta_j) = E[Y_j]$ and $\sigma^2(\theta_j) = \text{Var}(Y_j)$.

"New Quantities"

Risk j :

- individual risk premium
 $\mu(\theta_j) = E[X_{jt} | \Theta = \theta_j]$
- variance within individ. risk
 $\sigma^2(\theta_j) = w_{jt} \text{Var}(X_{jt} | \Theta = \theta_j)$
- aggregate volume
 $w_{j\Sigma} = \sum_{t=1}^T w_{jt}$
- weighted mean of outcomes
 $\bar{X}_{j\Sigma} = \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{w_{jt}}{w_{j\Sigma}} X_{jt}$

Collective:

- collective premium
 $m = E[\mu(\Theta)]$
- variance between individual risk premiums
 $a = \text{Var}(\mu(\Theta))$
- average variance within individual risks
 $s^2 = E[\sigma^2(\Theta)]$
- aggregate volume
 $w_{\Sigma\Sigma} = \sum_{j=1}^J w_{j\Sigma}$
- weighted mean of outcomes
 $\bar{X}_{\Sigma\Sigma} = \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{w_{j\Sigma}}{w_{\Sigma\Sigma}} \bar{X}_{j\Sigma}$

If m , s^2 and a are known

The credibility estimator in the Bühlmann-Straub model is given by

$$P_{j,T+1}^{cred} = z_j \bar{X}_{j\Sigma} + (1 - z_j)m = m + z_j(\bar{X}_{j\Sigma} - m),$$

where

$$\left. \begin{aligned} z_j &= \frac{w_{j\Sigma}}{w_{j\Sigma} + K} \\ K &= \frac{E[\sigma^2(\Theta)]}{\text{Var}(\mu(\Theta))} \end{aligned} \right\} z?$$

Remarks:

- the credibility factor z_j now depends on j
- if $w_{jt} = 1$, then $w_{j\Sigma} = T$ and z_j is equivalent to the z of the simple Bühlmann model

If s^2 and a are known but m has to be estimated

$$P_{j,T+1}^{\text{cred}} = z_j \bar{X}_{j\Sigma} + (1 - z_j) \hat{m} = \hat{m} + z_j (\bar{X}_{j\Sigma} - \hat{m}),$$

where

$$\hat{m} = \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{z_j}{z_\Sigma} \bar{X}_{j\Sigma}, \quad z_\Sigma = \sum_{j=1}^J z_j$$

Remarks:

- it can be shown that \hat{m} is a better estimator of m than $\bar{X}_{\Sigma\Sigma}$
- Quadratic loss:

$$E[(\hat{m} + z_j (\bar{X}_{j\Sigma} - \hat{m}) - \mu(\theta_j))^2] = a(1 - z_j) \left(1 + \frac{1 - z_j}{z_\Sigma} \right)$$

- This makes sense- in an **non-i.i.d** sample, the weighted average where the weights are inversely proportional to the variances is BLUE.

If m , s^2 and a have to be estimated

$$P_{j,T+1}^{cred} = \hat{z}_j \bar{X}_{j\Sigma} + (1 - \hat{z}_j) \hat{m} = \hat{m} + \hat{z}_j (\bar{X}_{j\Sigma} - \hat{m}),$$

Where we use the following unbiased (weighted) sample statistics:

$$\begin{cases} \hat{z}_j = \frac{w_{j\Sigma}}{w_{j\Sigma} + \frac{\hat{s}^2}{\hat{a}}} \\ \hat{s}^2 = \frac{1}{J} \sum_{j=1}^J \hat{s}_j^2 = \frac{1}{J} \sum_{j=1}^J \left(\frac{1}{T-1} \sum_{t=1}^T w_{jt} (X_{jt} - \bar{X}_{j\Sigma})^2 \right) \\ \hat{a} = \frac{w_{\Sigma\Sigma}}{w_{\Sigma\Sigma}^2 - \sum_{j=1}^J w_{j\Sigma}^2} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^J w_{j\Sigma} (\bar{X}_{j\Sigma} - \bar{X}_{\Sigma\Sigma})^2 - (J-1) \hat{s}^2 \right\} \end{cases}$$

Handwritten notes: $E[\frac{1}{T-1} \sum_{t=1}^T (X_{jt} - \bar{X}_{j\Sigma})^2] = \text{var}(X_j)$ with an arrow pointing to the \hat{s}^2 equation.
 $w_{j\Sigma} \propto 1/\text{var}$ with an arrow pointing to the \hat{a} equation.

Numerical Example

Past claims data on a portfolio of two groups of policyholders are given below:

		Year			
	Group	1	2	3	4
Total Claim Amount	1	8000	11,000	15,000	—
Number in Group		40	50	70	75
Total Claim Amount	2	20,000	24,000	19,000	—
Number in Group		100	120	115	95

Estimate the Bühlmann-Straub credibility premium to be charged in year 4 for each group of policyholder.

$\frac{8000}{40} = 200$
 $\frac{20000}{100} = 200$

X_{1t}	Y_1	Y_2	Y_3
X_{2t}	200	220	214.49
	200	200	165.22

$w_1 = \frac{40 + 50 + 70}{160}$
 $w_2 = \frac{33500}{160}$

	Y_1	Y_2	Y_3	$\bar{X}_{j\cdot}$	\hat{S}_j^2
X_{11}	200	220	214.49	212.50	4642.86
X_{21}	200	200	165.22	188.06	45,684.62

$$\bar{X}_{\cdot\cdot} = 195.94 \quad \hat{S}^2 = 25,163.74$$

① Individual weighted sample mean

$$\bar{X}_{1\cdot} = \frac{w_1 X_{11} + w_2 X_{12} + w_3 X_{13}}{w_1 + w_2 + w_3} = \frac{40 \cdot 200 + 50 \cdot 220 + 70 \cdot 214.29}{160} = 212.50$$

② Individual weighted sample var

$$\hat{S}_1^2 = \frac{1}{3-1} \left[40(200-212.5)^2 + 50(220-212.5)^2 + 70(214.29-212.5)^2 \right]$$

$$\hat{S}_1^2 = \frac{160 \times 212.5 + 335 \times 188.06}{160 + 335} = 4642.86$$

③ Collective;

$$(4) \quad \bar{F}_m \mid a \quad \frac{1}{i} \quad K$$

$$a = \frac{(160 + 335)}{(160 + 335)^2 - 160^2 - 335^2} \left\{ 160(212.5 - 195)^2 + 335(188 - 195)^2 \right. \\ \left. - (2+1) \cdot 25163.74 \right\}$$

$$\approx 182.47$$

$$K = \frac{s^2}{a} \approx 137.91$$

6) Find z, m

$$i) z_1 = \frac{w_1}{w_1 + k} = \frac{60}{160 + 137.91} \approx 0.54$$

$$z_2 = \frac{w_2}{w_2 + k} = \frac{335}{335 + 157.91} = 0.71$$

$$ii) \hat{M} = \sum \frac{z_j}{z_j} \bar{X}_{j\cdot}$$

$$= \frac{0.54}{0.54 + 0.71} (212.5) + \frac{0.71}{0.54 + 0.71} (188.06)$$

$$= 148.60$$

7)

$$p_1^{c,1} = w_1 [z_1 \bar{X}_{1\cdot} + (1 - z_1) \hat{M}]$$

$$= 75 [0.54 (212.5) + (0.46) (148.60)] = 15454.87$$

$$p_2^{c,1} = 18158.10$$